

# HILIC Method Development in a Few Simple Steps

*Monica Dolci, Luisa Pereira, Dafydd Milton and Tony Edge*  
*Thermo Fisher Scientific, Runcorn, Cheshire, UK*

# Overview


This poster presents a systematic approach to method development in HILIC. Guidelines are provided for:

- column selection based on analyte(s) properties
- mobile phase selection, including composition, use of buffers and pH
- separation optimization

## Introduction

Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) is arguably the most successful approach for the retention and separation of polar compounds. This technique can be described as a variation of reversed phase chromatography performed using a polar stationary phase. The mobile phase employed in HILIC is highly organic in nature (60-70% solvent, typically acetonitrile) containing a small percentage of aqueous solvent/buffer or other polar solvent. The aqueous portion of the mobile phase acts as the stronger solvent; it forms an aqueous-rich layer adsorbed to the polar surface of the stationary phase (as illustrated in Figure 1).

**FIGURE 1.** Schematic representation of the water-rich liquid layer within the stationary phase In HILIC



Polar analytes preferentially partition into this aqueous rich layer and evidence [1] suggests that they are retained through a complex, combination of:

- hydrophilic partitioning of the analyte between the aqueous-rich layer and the bulk of the mobile phase
- hydrogen bonding between polar functional groups and the stationary phase
- electrostatic interactions of ionized functional groups
- van der Waals interactions between the hydrophobic portions of the bonded ligands of the stationary phase and the non-polar part of the analytes.

In addition to the HILIC mechanism inherent complexity, there is variety of misinformation regarding the use of this technique.


1. What column should be used?
2. What are the best mobile phase starting conditions?
3. What are the common issues in HILIC method development that need to be addressed?

These are the types of question that HILIC users face and will be addressed within this poster.

## HILIC Method Development

We recommend the following sequential method development steps:

**FIGURE 2.** HILIC method development flow chart




# Method Parameters Considerations

## Column Selection

It is important to match the analyte log P or log D values to the polarity of the HILIC phases. The more negative the log P or log D value, the greater will be stationary the phase polarity required to retain it. The following chart can be used as a guide in stationary phase selection at this stage:

**FIGURE 3.** Column selection guide based on retention.



## Mobile Phase – Organic Content

In HILIC the mobile phase is highly organic. It has been demonstrated that the organic modifier/aqueous ratio is a major factor controlling separation selectivity. Increasing the percentage of organic solvent increases the retention.

Although acetonitrile is the most popular solvent used in HILIC, several other polar, water-miscible organic modifiers can be used. The elutropic strength is generally the inverse to what observed in RPLC.

## Mobile Phase – Do I need a Buffer?

As a general guideline buffers are added to the mobile phase to reduce peak tailing and/or retention of charged analytes.

Due to their good solubility in organic solvents, the recommended buffers for HILIC are ammonium salts of acetic and formic acids. These buffers also have the advantage of being volatile for electrospray devices.

Generally, stationary phases with a net positive or negative charge require higher concentrations of buffers than neutral or zwitterionic phases.

Electrostatic interactions are secondary forces which can have important contributions to the retention in HILIC, since some polar compounds can be charged at the mobile phase pH conditions typically used. The presence of buffers in the mobile phase can reduce electrostatic interactions (both attractive and repulsive) between charged analytes and the stationary phase.

### **Mobile Phase Buffer Type**

Ammonium formate and ammonium acetate do not provide significant differences in retention times of acid and basic model compounds on neutral and zwitterionic phases.


However, the acetate ion has a greater neutralising effect on the interactions between the surface of the charged stationary phase and the oppositely charged analyte, providing shorter retention times than ammonium formate.

### **Mobile Phase Buffer Concentration**


When electrostatic attractions are prevalent, an increase in the salt concentration leads to a decrease in retention of charged solutes on the stationary phases of opposite charge. This phenomenon is illustrated in Figure 4, which show the separation of an acidic mixture, with the retention of the anionic analytes decreasing on the anionic phase as the concentration of ammonium acetate increases.

Increased salt concentrations result in increased retention of positively charged solutes on stationary phases with same charge, as demonstrated in Figure 5, where the retention of cytosine and cytidine on an anion exchanger increases with the salt concentration. Enhanced hydrogen-bonding interactions (between the analyte and the stationary phase) are responsible for this behaviour. The hydrogen-bonding interactions are facilitated by the increased population of solvated salt ions in the mobile phase (salting-out effect).

**FIGURE 4.** The effect of ammonium acetate concentration on the separation of a mixture of acids on Thermo Scientific™ Hypersil GOLD™ HILIC (anion exchanger). Mobile phase: 90/10 acetonitrile/ammonium acetate. Analytes: 1. Salicylamide; 2. Salicylic acid; 3. Aspirin




**FIGURE 5.** The effect of ammonium acetate concentration on the separation of a mixture of bases on Hypersil GOLD HILIC (anion exchanger). Mobile phase: 90/10 acetonitrile/ammonium acetate. Analytes: 1. Uracil; 2. Uridine; 3. Cytosine; 4. Cytidine



## Mobile Phase Buffer pH


In general, charged compounds are more hydrophilic, and more retentive in HILIC. Figure 6 shows the retention factor of acetylsalicylic acid increasing with the buffer pH, on bare silica and zwitterionic phases:

**FIGURE 6.** The effect of mobile phase buffer pH on the retention of acetylsalicylic acid. Mobile phase: 90/10 acetonitrile/100 mM ammonium formate. The mobile phase buffer pH was measured before the addition of acetonitrile



The mobile phase buffer pH can also affect the stationary phase charge state; this, for example is the case for silica phases, where the degree of silanol ionisation is dependent on the pH. At pH>4-5, the silanols are deprotonated, making the silica surface negatively charged, which has an effect on the retention of positively charged analytes. The increased retention for cytidine, illustrated in Figure 7 demonstrates this phenomenon.

**FIGURE 7.** The effect of mobile phase buffer pH on the retention of cytidine on a bare silica phase. Mobile phase: 90/10 acetonitrile/100 mM ammonium formate



# Conclusions

These are some key tips in method development and optimisation:

- The elutropic strength is inverse to that observed with RPLC. Aprotic solvents give longer retention than protic solvents.
- The ideal organic content is between 60 to 97% and a minimum of 3% water to hydrate the stationary phase.
- Increasing organic solvent increases retention.
- Use buffer salts to avoid peak tailing and to control retention times of charged analytes.
- It is recommended to use buffer salts concentrations between 2-20 mM. Higher concentrations would not be soluble in high levels of organic and could impair MS or CAD signals.
- When using gradients, buffer both mobile phases, do not run buffer gradients.
- Do not run gradients from 100% organic to 100% aqueous. We suggest a 97-60% organic gradient.
- The charge state of the stationary phase can affect HILIC retention of ionisable compounds.

## References

1. McCalley, D.V., 2010, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1217, 3408.
2. Guo, Y. and Gaiki, S. 2005, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1074, 71.

[www.thermoscientific.com](http://www.thermoscientific.com)

©2014 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. ISO is a trademark of the International Standards Organization. All other trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. and its subsidiaries. This information is presented as an example of the capabilities of Thermo Fisher Scientific products. It is not intended to encourage use of these products in any manners that might infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details.



Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sunnyvale, CA  
USA is ISO 9001:2008 Certified.

**Africa** +43 1 333 50 34 0  
**Australia** +61 3 9757 4300  
**Austria** +43 810 282 206  
**Belgium** +32 53 73 42 41  
**Brazil** +55 11 3731 5140  
**Canada** +1 800 530 8447  
**China** 800 810 5118 (free call domestic)  
400 650 5118

**Denmark** +45 70 23 62 60  
**Europe-Other** +43 1 333 50 34 0  
**Finland** +358 9 3291 0200  
**France** +33 1 60 92 48 00  
**Germany** +49 6103 408 1014  
**India** +91 22 6742 9494  
**Italy** +39 02 950 591

**Japan** +81 6 6885 1213  
**Korea** +82 2 3420 8600  
**Latin America** +1 561 688 8700  
**Middle East** +96 1 333 50 34 0  
**Netherlands** +31 76 579 55 55  
**New Zealand** +64 9 980 6700  
**Norway** +46 8 556 468 00

**Russia/CIS** +43 1 333 50 34 0  
**Singapore** +65 6289 1190  
**Sweden** +46 8 556 468 00  
**Switzerland** +41 61 716 77 00  
**Taiwan** +886 2 8751 6655  
**UK/Ireland** +44 1442 233555  
**USA** +1 800 532 4752

**Thermo**  
SCIENTIFIC  
A Thermo Fisher Scientific Brand